P28

PRESERVED

App. F. IX-4

Previous References

193 / . 32 (Pol)

File No. 109 31

Serial Nos. 1 - - 5

Later References

PRESERVED

Pol S1-31-8+9.
" 96-31-59, 66-68
" 141-32-1-5
" 202-36 (1-14) Pol. 175-41 (1-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. Fine

Part B for April 1932

SUBJECT.

Reorganisation of the Police Department.

---

5) 2 4611

To I. G. Pol
" Comptroller

P 4611 - 12 of 16 2/32

Inviting attention to the observation that the attachment in the Ministerial staff ordered in the G. O. of 23/25-1-32 should be from effect to from 1-7-02.

Dr. Above Reply
25-4-32

G. R. S. APP F. III—1] 193 1-32

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Police)

NOTES.

File No. 109-23

FILE HEADING.

Re-organisation of the Police & pt

FROM The I. G. of Police

No. C.C.22/3 Dated 10/13.11.31 Received 14.11.31

Diary No. P.2050	Enclos. —	Spare copies	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Recommends that Asst. Supdts. of Police should be treated as assistants to the Supdt. of Police and proposes the abolition of the office establishment of the Asst. Supdt. of Police Mysore City and the reduction of the office establishment of the Asst. Supdts. [illegible]

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The remuneration and allowances to two clerks in each case, the Supdt. may employ clerks thus drawn and being employed in the [illegible]

The I. G. of Police wants to make the Asst. Supdt. of Police, Mysore, an assistant to the Dy. Supdt. of Police, Mysore, doing such work as the latter may give him. The former is to have the office establishment of the Dy. Supdt. of Police

NOTES—First Sheet.

at his disposal. The separate office establishment now sanctioned for the Asst. Supdt. of Police, Mysore, is therefore proposed to be abolished. This office now consists of

1 clerk on Rs 50-4-70
and 4 clerks on Rs 30-3-45.

The office establishment of the Asst. Supdt. of Police, Chennapatna and Davangere is proposed to be reduced 2 clerks in each case as in the Madras Presidency consisting of

1 clerk on Rs 50-4-75
and 1 clerk on Rs 30-3-45.

These proposals will result in

1 Senior clerk on Rs 50-4-70
and 6 Junior clerks on Rs 30-3-45 being thrown out. The I.G. of Police proposes to employ the supernumerary Senior clerk on Rs 50-4-70 in the office of the Supdt. of Police, Chitaldrug &c., and the 6 supernumerary Junior clerks on Rs 30-3-45 are proposed to be employed in the offices of the Supdts. of Police, Bangalore, Shimoga, Hassan, Kadur, Mysore and Kolar districts. A statement showing the present and the revised scales of establishment of the offices of Supdts. and Asst. Supdts.

Serial No.

of Police is put up. Submitted for orders. She D. G. P. states that there is a portion which calls for attention & orders

1/12 and that is the powers & duties of the A. Supdt of Police as defined in chap. IV of the Police Manual are to all intents & purposes the same, with the result that Asst Superintendents have become practically independent officers. In his opinion, the Asst Supdt should be an assistant of the Supdt and should no way usurp his functions &c. He appeared desirous that the D. G. of Police to submit draft rules defining the duties & powers of A S Ps & D. S. Ps, so that A S P may be an assistant to the D. S. P. will be pertinent in the first instance

1/12/31

4. The I. G. P has proposed the amalgamation of the offices of the A. S. Ps at Mysore, Chikmagalur & Davangere with those of the D S Ps of the districts.

He considers that there is duplication of work at present owing to the existence of separate office for the A.S.P, & that this can be avoided & a saving effected in the establishments.

His proposals are these :—

1) The A.S.P should be the assistant of the D.S.P. & a definition of their relative positions is suggested.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31

4)

Pol File No. 109 of 1931 | General and Revenue Secretariat

2) The office of A.S.P. Mysore city will be amalgamated with that of the D.S.P. The A.S.P. will be the deputy of the D.S.P & have direct charge of Mysore city & Palace. The present establishment of the A.S.P consisting of one Senior & two junior clerks will be retrenched & transferred

3) A.S.P Chennapatna: Though the I.G.P is in favour of the transfer of the office to Bangalore, he does not press the point as the D.C.s are opposed to it & chiefly on that ground

out of one Senior clerk & 2 junior clerks each of these offices has at its present establishment, one junior clerk to be retrenched from each.

4) The effect of these proposals is the saving of one Senior & six junior clerks.

5) The I.G.P does not propose to recommend retention of this staff at once. As the staff in the offices of the several D.S.P is considered incompetent & inefficient, and as the work in these offices has increased owing to their being requested to maintain the Service registers of the Constabulary, he proposes to distribute these 7 clerks among the D.S.P's offices in Mysore, Chitaldrug, Bangalore, Shimoga, Hassan, Kadur & French Rocks. His redistribution is temporary

2760 :
2050

(5

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. Pol. File No. 109 of 1931.

Serial No.

till the end of the current year. After this new system of work in the D. S. P.'s offices is put in working order, the I. G. P. proposes to examine the situation before the end of the year & determine what permanent reductions may be effected.

5. As I. G. P.'s proposals tend to efficiency & also to economy in the course they may be sanctioned.

Chief Secretary). 6/12/31

P. Please put up after the matter is over 19/31 (11)

6. U.S. Please speak to me RG (3/12/31)

7. Please put up a draft G.O. RG 16/12/31

17/12 JK 6.12.31

From the Comptroller. No. Books. 1117 dated 29.12.31.

Forwards duly verified a proposition Statement in duplicate relating to the proposals made by the I. G. of Police in Serial 1.

8. Submitted with a draft G.O. for Consideration & Issue if approved.

26/12

31/12/31

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

P. W. D. 02553—50,000—GPB—8-7-30

5)

-766 ✓

Pol. File No. 109 of 1931.

General and Revenue Secretariat

9. Typed draft G.O. put up for consideration (Chief Secretary)

Ce 7/1/32

10. May be approved

Second Member ✓ Dewan ✓

Through Fin Secy

Ce 9/1/32

9.1.32

Ce 12/1/32

12/1/32

13-1-32

11. Issue

Ce 15/1/32

DEWAN 4890 13 JAN. 1932

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL 11 JAN. 1932 No. 552

TYPING SECTION 15 JAN. 1932 GENL. & REV. SECTT

F 2397/9-1-32

To the Comptroller
" I.G. of Police
" Dy. Comrs. of Bangalore Mysore, and Chitaldrug dts.

No. S. 4116-20, dated 7.1.31.
Sanctions the proposals made in Serial No. 7 of Govt. Order No. R. Cons. 1367

12. Draft Govt. Order issued.

Sus. A. 26.1.32

13 Issued 28/1

Communicated

Serial No.

4 From the I.G. of Police.
No. C.C. 22/31-32 dated 30.1.32.
Requests that G.O. No. P. 4116-251 dated 23rd January 1932 Pol. 109-31-3 referred to above may be given effect to from 15th February 1932.

Flag T

14. The G.O. of 23rd January 1932 abolishes the office of the establishment of the A.S.O.'s office, Mysore City, and reduces the strength of the A.S.O.'s offices at Davangere and Chennapatna by one junior clerk on Rs 30-3-45. The I.G. of Police has decided already which are the A.S.O.'s offices where these supernumeraries are to be temporarily employed. Any of these supernumeraries will be found to be equally competent or suitable for being posted to any district, to which the I.G. of Police may choose to send them. There seems to be no necessity to consult the A.S.O.'s in the matter, as suggested by the I.G. of Police. It is not usual for the Head of a Dept. to ask his gazetted subordinate officers whether he would like to have a particular official in his office or no. The procedure suggested by the I.G. of Police for posting these supernumeraries to

Please see arrows of flag S and the Summary to the G.O of 23rd Jany 1932.— Flag T.

E—Contn. Sheet.

new posts is a quite unusual procedure as no such practice prevails in any of the other depts.

15. The G.O. of 23rd January 1932 sanctions an additional temporary clerk for the D.S. P's offices in Bangalore, Mysore, Kadur, Hassan, Shimoga, Chitaldrug and French Rocks Dts. A G.O. sanctioning such a fresh charge may be acted upon at any time within one year from the date of its issue (Vide art. 275 of the Mysore Civil Acct. Code Vol. I, on this point). There is therefore nothing to prevent the I.G. of Police from giving effect to the G.O. from 15th February 1932 as suggested by him without any further sanction of Govt. May the I.G. of Police be informed accordingly? Submitted for orders.

16. The proposal of the I.G. may be approved with the observation that the extra staff sanctioned may be employed from 1-7-32 as already ordered.

17. Yes: put up draft

Submitted with a draft.

(Chief Sec'y) 2/2/32

Secd. Member 9/2/32

Through Fin Secy

Flag I

P.U.D.

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL 12 FEB. 1932 No. 662

Fl 2889/10-2-32

TYPING SECT 15 F

19) Issue

Amendment to the Police Manual, 1931. (9

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 109 of 1931. [App. F. III—2

Serial No.	
	To the I.G. of Police, Comptroller, No. 8. 4611-12 dated 16.2.32: Sanctions with certain remarks the proposal made in Serial 4.

B A B

17-2-32

Memo. to Comptt. No. 8. 4611-12 dt. 16-4-32.

Adding to the Police Manual, 1931 vide Memo. No. 8. 4611-12 dt. 22-4-31.

B

25/4/32

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31.

POLICE DEPARTMENT

Tel.-Address
ALACRIS
PHONE No. 298

No. CC.22/31
Encs. 7

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE
IN MYSORE

Dated Bangalore 10th November 1931

From

F. A. HAMILTON, ESQ., C.I.E.,
INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE

To

R.RANGA RAO,Esqt., B.A., B.L.,
Chief Secretary to the Govt.of Mysore,
Bangalore

SIR,

I have the honor to forward herewith copy of a note on the amalgamation of the offices of Assistant Superintendents with those of District Superintendents of Police which I circulated to the Deputy Commissioners of Mysore, Bangalore and Chitaldrug for favour of the expression of their opinions on the proposals, together with copies of the replies received, and to make certain proposals regarding these offices as well as the position of Assistant Superintendents and their relationship to Distfict Superintendents.

2 (a) I propose to deal with the Assistant Superintendent of Police, Mysore, first as in this instance the matter is simple. I may state, generally however, that the powers and duties of the District and the Assistant Superintendent of Police are stated in Chapter IV of Part I of the Police Manual from which it will be seen that the two officers are generally mentioned together, their powers and duties being the same. Whether as a result of these orders or from other causes, Assistant Superintendents of Police have become almost independent officers with Offices of their own and in these offices the tendency appears to have been to elaborate work and to a great extent duplicate the work done in the Office of the District Superintendent of Police, while the Assistant has carried on correspondence with the outside world, independently of the District Superintendent - sometimes duplicating the correspondence of the latter officer, possibly contradicting it - and the District Superintendent has come to regard the Sub Division of His District as the charge of an independent officer.

(b) In the case of the Assistant Superintendent, Mysore City, the officer holds charge of the Mysore City. His Office consists of

consists of 5 clerks on the following scale:-

1. One clerk on Rs.50-4-70 scale.
2. Four clerks on Rs.30-3-45 scale.

So extensive an establishment I consider superfluous, especially as the greater part of the W.K is merely duplication of the work done in the Office of the District Superintendent of Police stationed in the same City. In this case I propose that the two Offices be combined into one. I may say that in the case of the Assistant Superintendent of Police, Bangalore City, this officer, recently abolished, never had a seperate office but worked in the Office of the District Superintendent, and I can find no reason for the elaboration of offices in the case of Mysore.

(c) The Deputy Commissioner and the District Superintendent Of Police, Mysore District, are both in favour of the amalgamation of these offices.

(d) With this amalgamation of offices the work of the office of the District Superintendent may be slightly increased and on this account, as well as for reasons which will be detailed later, I propose the one (junior) clerk out of the five now working in the Officeof the Assistant Superintendant be added to the staff of the Office of the District Superintendant of Police temporarily, a saving of four clerks will thus be effected in this office.

(e) The office of the District Superintendent of Police, Mysore has at present the following establishment:-

One Manager	-	Rs.70-5-95
Two Senior clerks		Rs.50-4-70
Four junior clerks		Rs.30-3-45

7

To this staff it is proposed to add temporarily one junior clerk from the Office of the Assistant Superintendant of Police, Mysore.

(f) A further point raised by me was whether the jurisdicti

jurisdiction of the Assistant Superintendent should not be increased instead of being confined to the City of Mysore so that some relief might be afforded to the District Superintendent. I suggested the inclusion of the Mysore Taluk within the charge of the Assistant Superintendent.

The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, suggests that the Assistant Suprintendent be relieved of all direct charge and be made Deputy to the District Superintendent. The District Superintendent objects to the extension of the jurisdiction of the Assistant Superintendent but for a reason which shows he misapprehends the consequences of the change.

I agree with the Deputy Commissioner that the best course is to make the Assistant Superintendent the Deputy of the District Superintendent so that he may be allotted any work the latter officer may assign to him. But in cases in which there are two officers of varying ranks stationed in the same place I have always found it advisable that the junior of them should have direct charge of the Head Quarter station since the subordinate Police are apt to disregard this junior officer and look to the senior alone as their superior. I think, therefore, that it will be advisable to let the Assistant Superintendent remain in direct charge of Mysore City and to increase his jurisdiction by placing the Mysore Taluk under him in addition.

3. The cases of the Assistant Suprintendents of Police Channapatna and Davangere are on a somewhat different footing from the Assistant Superintendent in Mysore in that in these cases the Head Quarters of the Assistant Suprintendents are different from those of the District Superintendents under whom they are serving and that these two places were selected as the headquarters of Gazetted officers owing to the turbulent or factious nature of the people in them or the neighbourhoods in which they are centred. While the District Superintendents concerned are in favour of the amalgamations of the offices of their Assistants with their own the Deputy Commissioners are opposed to the transfers of the Headquarters of the Assistant Superintendents in each case. It is easy to understand their objections though the fact that an officer's Head Quarters may be outside his jurisdiction does not prevent him touring within that jurisdiction and remaining at any spot within it whereat his presence is necessary. I am not, however, prepared to press the case for transfer which was inspired largely by the prospect of effecting economies.

(b) The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District is in favour of the concentration of all office work in the Office of the District Superintendent while the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District, favours all Departmental correspondence passing through the District - Superintendent and the District Superintendent in each case agrees that un-necessary duplication of work in the offices of the Assistant Superintendents of Police may be eliminated. This alteration and reduction in work in the offices of the Assistant Superintendent-s can, of course, be accomplished in any case: the difficulty is to achieve it and also effect a reduction in establishment. At present there are three clerks in each of these offices on the following scale.

One clerk on Rs.50-4-70 scale.

Two clerks on 30-3-45 scale.

The embarrasment arises from the incompetency of the clerks in service, but by proper selection in the future this difficulty may be alleviated. I may be permitted to say that in the Madras Presidency an Assistant Suprintendent is allotted two clerks and a Mutchi and, while admitting that the clerks are of high competency, I do not think that any greater establishment should be needed in this State provided that Clerks of efficiency are hereafter entertained. I think, indeed, that the work in the offices of Assistant Superintendents may be so curtailed that an establishment of 2 clerks will be found sufficient, and it may be accepted that a reduction of one clerk can be achieved in each of the offices at Channapatna and Davangere. As it is desirable to provide the Officers concerned with as competent and experienced clerks as possible, the clerks eliminated may be taken to be on the lowest scale, that is, Rs.30-3-45 grade.

4. The accumulative effect of these proposals is thus the saving of one senior and ~~five~~ six junior clerks i.e., one senior and ~~three~~ four junior clerks from the Office of the Assistant Superintendent of Police, Mysore City, and two junior clerks, one from each of the Sub Division offices at Channapatna and Davangere, if the Assistant Superintendents of Police Channapatna and Davangere be given each one clerk on the scale

scale 50-4-70 and one on 30-3-45.

5. I am not prepared, however, to recommend the reduction of the clerical staff of the Department to the extent indicated immediately. It is essential, I consider that offices should be made efficient before any reduction in staff is contemplated. I do not wish to overpaint the picture or import any impressions of my own founded, possibly, on different systems and a higher standard of efficiency, but would merely repeat the opinions of officers subordinate to me. The general impression I have gained is that offices are in a chaotic condition and that the staff is incompetent. I am not astounded to receive such complaints when I know that managers of offices have been created out of material found incompetent in the Executive and that clerks represent to some extent the unwanted of other Departments. While realising that it is impossible immediately to blot out the past and that the work at hand must be done with such material as is available I am striving to introduce new methods which will result in a reduction of and, I trust, an improvement in, work and also by discrimination in recruitment to secure so far as is possible a competent staff. Still, I must admit, there is one direction in which I have thrust increased labour on offices, viz., by requiring District offices to maintain the Service Registers of the Constabulary. The extent to which these records have been neglected in the past by the Inspectors who were supposed to maintain them more than justifies the change and it is necessary now to have them completed and brought up to date. This task will involve a fair amount of labour which will fall on District Police offices and will be greater than the meagre and inefficient staff thereof can undertake without detriment to their ordinary duties. I propose, therefore, that the clerks saved by the reconstitution of the offices of the Assistant Superintendents of Police may be utilised for this purpose, temporarily at any rate, the clerks being distributed to those Districts wherein they are most needed, until such time as the work mentioned has been completed and the "new system" put in working order whereafter stock may be taken of the situation and a determination reached as to what permanent reduction in staff may be effected. For the moment, the period assigned for the continuance of this additional staff - if I may term it such - may be the current financial year: before its conclusion the situation will be examined and a decision arrived at.

6. The Mysore District Police Office will be manned adequately with the addition of the junior clerk proposed to be transferred temporarily to it from the Office of the Assistant Superintendent of Police, Mysore. The senior clerk made available may be allotted to the Office of the District Superintendent of Police, Chitaldrug, that being the largest District with less than two senior clerks. The five junior clerks available may be distributed at the rate of one each to the District Offices in the Bangalore, Shimoga, Hassan, Kadur and French Rocks Distficts, the former two being heavy Distficts and the latter three possesing a staff of only five in all. The Kolar Gold Fields District has also only five office hands but that is a comparatively light District. These arrangements may be permitted to continue during the current financial year whereafter such reductions in staff as may be found possible or such re-distribution as may be needed may be effected.

7. The question of reducing the volume of work in the offices of the Assistant Superintendents of Police, Channapatna and Davangere has been taken in hand. I request that the orders of Government may be obtained on these proposals.

8. The effect of the proposed alterations in the strengths of District Police office staffs in the event of my proposals being accepted is shown in the proposition statement attached.

9. There is a further point which I consider calls for the attention and orders of the Government viz., the definition of the relative positions, powers and duties of the District and Assistant Superintendents of Police. As I have remarked above the powers and duties of these officers are dealt with in Chapter IV of Part I of the Police Manual where their functions are laid down as being to all intents and purposes the same, with the result that the Assistants have become practically independent officers. This should not be the case I consider: the Assistant Superintendent should be the assistant of the District Superintendent and while relieving him of a portion of his work should in no way usurp his functions and carry on correspondence without his knowledge.

The difficulties arising from the situation have already

already been noticed and Mr.Hiriannaiya when Inspector General of Police issued the following order with respect to the Assistant Superintendent of Police, Mysore : -

" Pending issue of formal order the Assistant Superintendent of Police, Mysore City, should act in absolute subordination to the District Superintendent of Police, Mysore District. The Assistant Superintendent will seek orders of the Superintendent where necessary but will exercise his own discretion where such orders could not be obtained owing to the absence of the Superintendent from Head Quarters.

The Superintendent will have the power of inspecting the A.S.P's Office and will give reasonable liberty of action to the Assistant Superintendent in the discharge of his duties. He may overrule the Assistant Superintendent's decisions when he considers such a course is necessary".

This order is still, in my opinion, not sufficiently definite and, besides, it is not alone the case of the Assistant Superintendent in Mysore City that has to be considered, but the cases of all Assistant Superintendents. Accordingly in my note attached I suggested the following definition of the relative position of the Dsitrict Superintendent and his Assistant and the powers and duties of the latter:-

"The District Superintendent of Police is responsible for seeing that his Assistant works efficiently. The duties of the Assistant Superintendent in charge of a sub Division are similar, subject to their limitations, to those of the District Superintendent of Police. They are entirely under the orders of the Distfict Superintendent of Police whom they are bound to keep fully informed of their proceedings and of what is going on in their Sub - Divisions and to consult them in all matters of difficulty. Correspondence other than on matters of routine should pass through the District Superintendent of Police."

"(Note:- The limitations mentioned relate to powers of recruitment, promotion, transfers, grant of rewards, grant of leave and punishment which, generally, would be subject to the general control of the District Superintendent of Police)."

I think such an order will make the situation clear and request that the orders of Government may be obtained thereon. The Deputy Commissioner, - Chitaldrug District, writes on this subject as follows:-

"I agree that the relationship between the District Superintendent of Police and the Assistant Superintendent of Police should be rendered more definite. It seems to me that the Assistant Superintendent of Police should be sub-ordinate to the District Superintendent of Police in all matters. The Assistant Superintendent of Police should look up to the District Superintendent of Police as his immediate official superior. As the District Superintendant of Police is held responsible for the Police administration of the entire District, all Departmental correspondence should generally pass through the Distfict Superintendent of Police."

I consider his remarks appropriate.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
YOUR MOST OBEDIENT SERVANT,

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE

No.C.C.22/3132

Camp Mysore
19-9-1931

NOTE ON ASST. SUPERINTENDENTS OF POLICE OFFICES.

Considerable economies can be effected by combining the offices of Assistant Superintendents of Police with District Superintendents of Police. This will mean, in the cases of the Assistant Superintendents of Police, Chennapatna and Davangere, making the headquarters of the Assistant Superintendents of Police the same as those of the District Superintendents of Police.

At present the existence of Assistant Superintendents ~~of Police~~ offices makes for, in great part, the duplication of work; two offices are maintained where one would suffice. The same registers are maintained in each and an additional staff is called for to write them up. One set of registers will suffice for the whole district in each case and besides saving in clerks, guards now required for Sub Division Offices and in the cases of Chennapatna and Davangere, rents now paid for offices will be saved.

These changes, if given effect to, will place the headquarters of the Assistant Superintendent of Police, Chennapatna at Bangalore and of Davangere at Chitaldrug. In neither case should there be any real objection to the change. It is true that the Assistant Superintendent's ~~of Police~~ post at Channapatna was called into existence owing to disturbances in that town but the chances of a recurrence of these disturbances is now remote and while it seems necessary to retain the post of Assistant Superintendent of Police owing to the size and importance of the district, his location at Bangalore will not materially affect the situation since that city is the meeting point of roads radiating thence to the headquarters of each of the Taluks over which the Assistant Superintendent of Police exercises control giving easy and rapid access to them.

In respect of Davanagere, the case is slightly different - in that the disturbances which led to the posting of a District Superintendent of

of Police to that place are of more recent occurrence than those of Channapatna and Davanagere is more remote from Chitaldrug than is Channapatna from Bangalore both in respect of distance and facility of communication. These difficulties however are not in my opinion insuperable and do not render impracticable in the case of Davanagere the adoption of the suggestion I have put forward. It will be necessary in both cases for Government to insist on the Assistant Superintendents of Police in the Bangalore and Chitaldrug Districts constantly touring within their charges and spending a certain proportion of their time in Channapatna and Davangere. As matters are, both Assistant Superintendents of Police have necessarily to spend some part of their time away from their present headquarters : the only difference will be that they will be required to visit these places frequently and in the event of the appearance of any semblance of a recrudescence of disturbances to definitely stay at the scene of action. It has to be remembered that in the event of a difficult or dangerous situation arising the presence of the District Superintendent of Police as well as of the Assistant Superintendent of Police would be necessary.

In the matter of distribution of work and the Assistant Superintendent of Police receiving the papers intended for him, the sole change in procedure would be that papers arising within the jurisdiction of the Assistant Superintendent of Police would be addressed to him at Bangalore (or Chitaldrug) and be dealt with by him but be registered in the registers common to him and the District Superintendent of Police and when finally disposed of be filed in the common office. The only change that need be stipulated is that when the Assistant Superintendent of Police is present within a Taluk the papers relating to that Taluk should be sent direct to him and be sent on by him when disposed of (where necessary being temporarily registered by him) to the District Police Office for file.

In transferring the headquarters of Assistant Superintendents of Police and combining their offices with those of District Superintendents of Police, the opportunity might be taken of adding to the charges of Assistant Superintendents of Police or redistributing the Taluks committed to their care. For instance,

the

the feasibility of the Assistant Superintendent of Police taking charge of the Mysore Taluk as well as Mysore City and of the Assistant Superintendent of Police, Bangalore District supervising the work of Anekal Taluk in addition to the four Taluks now controlled by him might be considered. In the case of Chitaldrug District, the Hosdurga Taluk, certainly, and, possibly, also the Holalkere Taluk is more accessible from Chitaldrug than Davangere. Possibly, a change in the jurisdiction of the Assistant Superintendents ~~of Police~~ charge might be advantageous as, for instance, giving him charge of the Davanagere, Jagalur and Molakalmuru Taluks. This would have the effect of making the District Police Superintendent's charge more compact.

It is probably also advisable that the relationship between the District Superintendent of Police and Assistant Superintendent of Police should be both altered and more clearly defined than is the case at present. The Assistant Superintendent of Police now is almost in the position of an officer in independent charge. The Rules in the Police Manual do not differentiate between the position and authority of the two officers and the emendation to those rules issued by the Inspector General has [redacted] obscured rather than clarified the situation. It has to be understood that the Assistant Superintendent of Police is the Assistant to the District Superintendent of Police placed in control of a portion of the district to relieve the District Superintendent of Police of part of his work. The respective positions of the two officers might be defined as follows:-

The District Superintendent of Police is responsible for seeing that his Assistant works efficiently. The duties of the Assistant Superintendent in charge of a Sub Division are similar, subject to their limitations, to those of the District Superintendent of Police. They are entirely under the orders of the District Superintendent of Police whom they are bound to keep fully informed of their proceedings and of what is going on in their Sub Divisions and to consult them in all matters of difficulty. Correspondence other than on matters of routine should pass through the District Superintendent of Police.

(NOTE : The limitations mentioned relate to [redacted] powers of recruitment, promotion, transfers, grant of rewards, grant of leave and punishments which generally, would be subject to the general control of the District

District Superintendent of Police).

Turning now to the financial aspect of these proposals, five clerks are employed in the office of the Assistant Superintendent of Police, Mysore, and three in each of the offices of the Assistant Superintendents of Police, Channapatna and Davangere. For the time being it may be necessary to strengthen the offices of the District Superintendents of Police of the districts concerned both on account of the additional work thrown on these offices and to reorganise the work of these offices. For those purposes three clerks might be added to the office of the District Superintendent of Police, Mysore and two each to the offices of the District Superintendent of Police, Bangalore and Chitaldrug. There would then be four clerks saved eventually when the services of these clerks is no longer needed. For the moment their services will be needed in other District Police Offices to assist in reorganisation. That such reorganisation or, let me say, organisation, is requisite is apparent from the chaotic state of most of these offices. Moreover, under the General Police Reorganisation Scheme the service registers of the constabulary hitherto kept by Inspectors have now been called into District Police Offices where, hereafter, they will be maintained. It is found that the maintenance of these registers in Taluks has been defective and their being brought up to date is essential. For this ~~lack~~ task additional hands will be needed and it is proposed to employ the hands to be discarded under this Scheme on it till it is completed.

The eventual saving, therefore, under the Scheme will be 4 clerks (possibly ~~[illegible]~~ greater) while the guards allocated to offices may be dispensed with and rents at Channapatna and Davangere will be saved. The Assistant Superintendents ~~of Police~~ office building in Mysore is a Government building and may be utilised by the newly constituted Crime Branch ~~of~~ and the Railway Inspector of Police ~~and~~ recently posted to Mysore.

4 clerks on Rs. 30/-	:	120
12 Constables Rs.14/-	:	168
OFFICE RENT:-		
Chennapatna	:	10
Davangere	:	25
		323 per mensem : 3,876 per annum

Sd. F.A. Hamilton
Inspector-General of Police.

"Copy"
~~Inspector-General of Police.~~

Letter No.G3. 461/31 dated the 23rd September 1931 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Inspector General of Police.

With reference to your Note No.CC.22/31 dated 19th September 1931 conveying certain proposals re: the amalgamation of the offices of the A.S.Ps with those of the D.S.Ps., I have the honor to state that I am in general agreement with your views in the matter. But I think that if the office of the A.S.P. Mysore City has to be amalgamated, with that of the D.S.P. Mysore District, it would be advisable to relieve the Assistant Superintendent of Police of the direct charge of any particular area and make him the Deputy of the District Superintendent of Police for the whole District. The Assistant Superintendent of Police then in the true sense would be the assistant of the D.S.P. Further not only would the D.S.P. be considerably relieved of the pressure on him but the duplication, inconvenience and overlapping in the office routine of the D.S.P. which will inevitably follow if these two officers simultaneously and independently deal with papers arising out of their respective jurisdictions could be avoided.

COPY

Inspector General of Police.

Letter JI.C.350/31-32 dated the 27th October 1931 from the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District, to the Inspector General of Police in Mysore

---

With reference to your memo No.C.C.22/31-32 dated the 19th September 1931 forwarding for my opinion a copy of your note suggesting among other things the transfer of the headquarters of the Assistant Superintendent of Police from Davangere to Chitaldrug, I have the honor to state that it is my considered opinion that the change is not desirable. Davangere is an important commercial centre next in importance only to Bangalore and Mysore. It is very necessary that a superior Police Officer should be stationed in this place. That the very present of a superior officer in a place is bound to have a moral effect cannot be gainsaid. I think that the Assistant Superintendent of Police should continue at Davangere as heretofore. I may add that public feeling in Davangere is entirely against the change.

As regards the jurisdiction of the Assistant Superintendent of Police, I think the present arrangement is alright and need not be disturbed.

I agree that the relationship between the District Superintendent of Police and the Assistant Superintendent of Police should be [redacted] rendered more definite. It seems to me that the Assistant Superintendent of Police should be subordinate to the District Superintendent of Police in all matters. The Assistant Superintendent of Police should look up to the District Superintendent of Police as his immediate official superior. As the District Superintendent of Police is held responsible for the police administration of the entire District, all Departmental correspondence should generally pass through the District Superintendent of Police.

COPY

Inspector General of Police.

CONFIDENTIAL

Letter No.C.C.76/31-32 dated the 26th September 1931, Camp Solur, from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, to the Inspector General of Police in Mysore.

With reference to the proposal contained in your note No.C.C.22/31 dated the 19th instant to transfer the headquarters of the Assistant Superintendent of Police, Chennapatna to Bangalore and to merge the office of the Assistant Superintendent of Police in that of the District Superintendent of Police, Bangalore District, I have the honor to state that it is undesirable for the present to shift the headquarters of the Assistant Superintendent of Police from Chennapatna. The circumstances in which an Assistant Superintendent of Police was posted to Chennapatna with jurisdiction over Closepet, Chennapatna Kankanhalli and Magadi Taluks are referred to in the note. That office was created in view of frequent disturbances of the public peace and the prevalence of strong communal animosities in and around Chennapatna. The area comprised in the Taluks of Kankanhalli, Chennapatna and Closepet is the most important in the Bangalore District from the point of view of Police work. The people in this area are well to do and have always maintained a tradition of lawlessness Most villages have strong factions and organised conflicts between them and frequent murders have been the feature of this [redacted] area. There has been no improvement in the condition of affairs such as to justify the slacking of our arrangements for keeping the peace in this area. As far as communal troubles are concerned it is no doubt true that we have had no serious disturbances in or around Chennapatna during the last year. But the tension still continues and unless we are vigilant, may break out at any moment. Both the parties remain still unchastened. It was only last year there was a serious disturbance at Nidasale within a few miles of Chennaptna, and although that village does not fall within the jurisdiction of the Assistant Superintendent of Police at Channapatna, it was the presence of the Assistant Superintendent of Police at Chennapatna and the prompt action taken by him that nipped the riot in the bud. The cases relating to this rioting are still pending before the Magistrate of Mandya. Only a few months ago there was a serious riot between the Hindus and Mahommedans in Kankanhalli. Recently there

there was a case of rioting between the Hindus and Mahommedans near Savandurga. These are clear indications that the distemper remains. During the last two or three years there have been ~~been~~ several gunshot murders in Kankanhalli and only in a few of the cases could the accused be brought to book. In view of these circumstances I am afraid that the removal of the headquarters of the Assistant Superintendent of Police, Chennapatna may have a very unwholesome effect on the situation in these areas. I am strongly of opinion that this measure should not be carried into effect for the present.

2. Turning next to the economies that will be possible as a result of the transfer, the note in question shows that the saving is not very appreciable. A good portion of the saving will certainly be lost by the additional travelling allowance that would be payable to the Assistant Superintendent of Police for the journeys that he will have to make to reach his jurisdiction.

3. In regard to the concentration of all office work in the office of the District Superintendent of Police, it is a very desirable arrangement and I think we may take steps to give effect to it and much of the work that is now done by the Assistant Superintendent of Police may be dealt with in the office of the District Superintendent of Police, such as the maintenance of service registers, the issue of kit, the maintenance of crime registers, the furnishing of progress reports etc. It would be enough if the Assistant Superintendent of Police was given one clerk and two office orderlies. He need maintain only a check register of crimes for checking the progress of investigation. This clerk would also assist him in carrying on such correspondence as he may have with the Taluks on the one hand and the District Superintendent of Police on the other. This arrangement may result in some retrenchment and at the same time will achieve the usual purpose for concentrating all work in the District in the office of the District Superintendent of Police.

COPY

Inspector General of Police.

PROPOSITION

NAME OF OFFICE	Present						
	Manager. 125-10-175	Store-keeper 100-5-125	1st Gr.Clerks. 70-5-95	Stenographers. 50-5-75	2nd gr.clerks. 50-4-70	3rd Gr.Clerks. 30-3-45	Remarks.
Chief Office	1	1	3	1	6	6	
Railways and C.I.D.	-	-	-	2	1	3	
Provincial Res.Force.	-	-	-	-	1	2	
Bangalore City	-	-	1	1	2	3	
Police Training School.	-	-	-	-	-	1	
Bangalore District.	-	-	1	-	2	3	
Channapatna ASPs	-	-	-	-	1	2	
Kolar District	-	-	1	-	2	3	
Kolar Gold Fields	-	-	1	-	1	3	
Mysore District.	-	-	1	-	2	4	
Mysore City. ASPs	-	-	-	-	1	4	
French Rocks.	-	-	1	-	1	3	
Tumkur District.	-	-	1	-	2	4	
Kadur District.	-	-	1	-	1	3	
Hassan District.	-	-	1	-	1	3	
Shimoga District.	-	-	1	-	2	4	
Chitaldrug District.	-	-	1	-	1	3	
Davangere. ASPs	-	-	-	-	1	2	
Total	1	1	14	4	28	56	

1. G.O.No.P.101-10/Pol.89-28-6 dated 7-7-1930 sanctioning the re-organization of the Clerical Estd.
2. G.O.No.P.3917-13/Pol.85-30-4 dated 7-1-1931 sanctioning clerical staff to P.R.P.
3. Go.No.3690-1/Pol.151-29-2 D.22-1-30

1 1 1 14 1 27 54

1 2

1 -

STATEMENT.

	Proposed						
	Manager. 125-10-175	Storekeeper 100-5-125	1st.Gr.Clerks. 70-5-95	Stenographers. 50-5-75	2nd Gr-Clerks. 50-4-70	3rd Gr.Clerks. 30-3-45	Remarks.
	1	1	3	1	6	6	
-	-	-	-	2	1	3	
-	-	-	-	-	1	2	
2	-	-	1	1	2	3	
	-	-	-	-	-	1	
	-	-	1	-	2	4	X
	-	-	-	-	1	1	X
	-	-	1	-	2	3	
	-	-	1	-	1	3	@
	-	-	1	-	2	5	X
	-	-	-	-	-	-	X
	-	-	1	-	1	4	X
	-	-	1	-	2	4	X
	-	-	1	-	1	4	X
	-	-	1	-	1	4	X
	-	-	1	-	2	5	X
	-	-	1	-	2	3	X
	-	-	-	-	1	1	X
	1	1	14	4	28	56	

4. G.O.No.P.1263-72/Pol.19-31-2 D-28-8-31 sanctioning 2 stenographers for Political C.I.D.

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

No. Books. 1117

Bangalore, dated 19th December 1931.

From

S. SHAMANNA, Esq., B.A.,
Comptroller to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

N. Madhava Rao Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
B a n g a l o r e.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith a proposition statement in duplicate received from the Inspector General of Police with his letter No. C.22/31-32 dated 30th November 1931, relating to the revision of the Ministerial Establishment of the Police Department, duly verified. The proposition involves a reduction of Rs.3,750/- per annum in the permanent establishment and an addition of a like amount under temporary establishment.

2. Letter No. C.22/31 dated 15th November 1931 from the Inspector General of Police addressed to you is also enclosed.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Comptroller.

sam)

Finance and Accounts Form 11 (*Vide* [illegible] and Rule 23, Part 1, Chapter II of the Fin

Finl. 200]

STATEMENT OF PROPOSITION

Name of Office to which the Propositi

Government orders sanctioning present Establishment		Nature of Charge										
		Present Scale					Proposed Scale					
				Pay						Pay		
No.	Date	No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum	Ayerage Cost	No.	Designation	Munimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum
									PERMANENT ESTABLISHMENT			
		1.	Manager	125	10	175	162-8-0	1	Manager	125	10	175
		1	Storekeeper	100	5	125	118-12-0	1	Storekeeper	100	5	125
		14	Clerks	70	5	95	1242-8-0	14	Clerks	70	5	95
		28	Clerks	50	4	70	~~1080~~ 1820-0-0	27	Clerks	50	4	70
		56	Clerks	30	3	45	2310-0-0	50	Clerks	30	3	45
		2	Steno-graphers	50	5	75	137-8-0	2	Steno-graphers	50	5	75
		102					5791-4-0	95				
									TEMPORARY ESTABLISHMENT			
		2	Steno-graphers	50	5	75	~~100~~ 137½	2	Steno-graphers	50	5	75
			(Sanctioned till the close of the year 1931-32)					1	Clerk	50	4	70
		N.B.	Value of appointments taken at the minimum under note (1) of para (a) Clause I Art. 71 M.C.A.C.Vol.I					6	Clerks	30	3	45
		2					~~100~~ 137½	9				

Verified as Correcte[illegible]

[illegible]
Asstt. Comptroller

Note.—To be prepared in duplicate and forwarded through the Comptroller in Mysore and should

No.P.101-10/Pol. 89-28-6 dated 7th July 1930
No.P.3917-18/Pol. 85-30-4 dated 7th January 1931
No.P.3690-1/Pol. 151-29-2 dated 22nd January 1930
No.P.1263-72/Pol. 19-31-2 dated 28th August 1931.

ıcial Rules circulated with G. O. No. Fl. 1150—1192, dated 7th February 19(

FOR REVISION OF ESTABLISHMENT.

relates: Ministerial Establishments of the Police Department

WD 0302—GPB—5000—14-11-30

age st	Proposition						Grounds of Proposition	Comptroller's Report	Order of Government		
	Permanent		Temporary						Department concerned	Financial Department	
	Increase per month	Decrease per month	Increase per month		Decrease per month				Remarks	Remarks	Orders
	Amount	Amount	Period	Amount	Period	Amount					
	-8-0										
	-12-0										
	2-8-0	-									
	5-0-0	-	65-0-0								
	3-8-0	-	247-8-0								
	7-8-0	-	-								
	3-12-0	-	312-8-0								

Savings Rs.312-8-0 per mensem or Rs. 3750-0-0 per annum

Letter No.C.C.22/31 dated the 10th November 1931 addressed to the Chief Secretary to Government.

Scale

312½

6—300 - -

months

from-1-1-1932-

-Do- 1080 or

3750/- per annum

312½ or 3750 per annum.

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE.

followed by a reappropriation statement, if the proposal involves additional expenditure.

Finance and Accounts Form 11

[Finl. 200]

(*Vide* Art. 57 C.A. C. Vol. I, and Rule 23, Part 1. Chapter II of the Fin

STATEMENT OF PROPOSITION

Name of Office to which the Propositi

Government orders sanctioning present Establishment		Nature of Charge										
		Present Scale					Average Cost	Proposed Scale				
				Pay						Pay		
No.	Date	No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum		No.	Designation	Minimum	Rate of Increase	Maximum
									PERMANENT ESTABLISHMENT			
		1	Manager	125	10	175	162-8-0	1	Manager	125	10	175
		1	Storekeeper	100	5	125	118-12-0	1	Storekeeper	100	5	125
		14	Clerks	70	5	95	1242-8-0	14	Clerks	70	5	95
		28	Clerks	50	4	70	1820-0-0	27	Clerks	50	4	70
		56	Clerks	30	3	45	2310-0-0	50	Clerks	30	3	45
		2	Stenographers	50	5	75	137-8-0	2	Stenographers	50	5	75
		102					5791-4-0	95				
									TEMPORARY ESTABLISHMENT			
		2	Stenographers	50	5	75	~~100~~ 137-1 ~~100~~ 137-2	2	Stenographers	50	5	75
			(Sanctioned till the close of the year 1931-32)					1	Clerk	50	4	70
			N.B. Value of appointments taken at the minimum under note (1) of para (a) Clause I Art. 71 M.C.A. C. Vol.I					6	Clerks	30	3	45
		2					~~100~~ 137-2	9				

Verified as Corrected

Asst. Comptroller

G.S. 12

No.P.103-10/Fol. 89-28-6 dated 7th July 1930
No.P.3917-18/Fol. 85-30-4 dated 7th January 1931
No.P.3690-1/Fol. 151-29-2 dated 22nd January 1930
No.P.1263-72/Fol. 19-31-2 dated 28th August 1931.

Note.—To be prepared in duplicate and forwarded through the Comptroller in Mysore and

al Rules circulated with G. O. No. Fl. 1150—1192, dated 7th February 1903.)

OR REVISION OF ESTABLISHMENT.

relates Ministerial Establishments of the Police Department.

WD 0302—GPB—5000—14-11-30

Proposition						Grounds of Proposition	Comptroller's Report	Order of Government		
Permanent		Temporary						Department concerned	Financial Department	
Increase per month	Decrease per month	Increase per month		Decrease per month						
Amount	Amount	Period	Amount	Period	Amount			Remarks	Remarks	Orders
3-0										
12-0										
8-0	-	~~000000~~	Savings Rs.312-8-0 per mensem or Rs. 3750-0-0 per annum			Letter No.C.C.22/31 dated the 10th 2nd November 1931 addressed to the Chief Secretary to Government.				
0-0	-	65-0-0								
8-0	-	247-8-0								
8-0	-	-								
12-0	-	312-8-0								
			6 months from 1-1-1932		~~300~~ - -					
			-Do-		~~1080~~					
					375/1/-					
					~~1380~~					
					375 per annum.					

Mr. Lobo Prabhu
Head Clerk Office of the Director General of Police
4/4/-

ollowed by a reappropriation statement, if the proposal involves additional expenditure.

'TATEMENT.

		Manager. 125-10-125	Storekeeper 100-5-125	2nd Gr-Clerks. 50-4-70	3rd Gr.Clerks. 30-3-45	Remarks.
		1	1	6	6	
-		-	-	1	3	
-		-	-	1	2	
2		-	-	2	3	
		-	-	-	1	
		-	-	2	4	
		-	-	1	1	
		-	-	2	3	
		-	-	1	3	
		-	-	2	5	
		-	-	-	-	
		-	-	1	4	
		-	-	2	4	
		-	-	1	4	
		-	-	1	4	
		-	-	2	5	
		-	-	2	3	
		-	-	1	1	
		1	1	28	56	

4. [illegible] Secretariat Circular No. 368 I.G.P. Survey. [illegible] G.O.(P).No.1293-72/Pol.19-31-7 D-20-8-31 sanctioning 2 stenographers for Political C.I.D.

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE

PROPOSITION

NAME OF OFFICE	Present						Remarks.
	Manager. 125-10-175	Store-keeper 100-5-125	1st Gr.Clerks. 70-5-95	Stenographers. 5-5-75	2nd Gr.clerks. 50-4-70	3rd Gr.Clerks. 30-3-45	
Chief Office	1	1	3	1	6	6	
Railways and C.I.D.	-	-	-	2	1	3	54
Provincial Res.Force.	-	-	-	-	1	2	27
Bangalore City	-	-	1	1	2	3	1
Police Training School.	-	-	-	-	-	1	1
Bangalore District. ✓	-	-	1	-	2	3	14
Channapatna ✓	-	-	-	-	1	2	1
Kolar District ✓	-	-	1	-	2	3	1
Kolar Gold Fields	-	-	1	-	1	3	
Mysore District. ✓	-	-	1	-	2	4	
Mysore City.	-	-	-	-	1	4	
French Rocks. ?	-	-	1	-	1	3	
Tumkur District. ✓	-	-	1	-	2	4	
Kadur District. ✓	-	-	1	-	1	3	
Hassan District. ✓	-	-	1	-	1	3	
Shimoga District. ✓	-	-	1	-	2	4	
Chitaldrug District. ✓	-	-	1	-	1	3	
Davangere. ✓	-	-	-	-	1	2	
Total	1	1	14	4 (a)	28	56	

1. G.O.No.P.101-10/Pol.69-28-6 dated 7-7-1930 sanctioning the re-organization of the Clerical Estd.
2. G.O.No.P.3917-18/Pol.85-30-4 dated 7-1-1931 sanctioning clerical staff to D.S.P.
3. G.O.No.P.[illegible]

(a) 2 of these are temporary.

Present Scale verified with the Observation that two of the Stenographers are only temporary

E.V. Ramanujam Iyer
Asstt. Controller ✓

16/11/31

NAME OF OFFICE	Present						
	Manager. 125-10-175	Store-keeper 100-5-125	1st Gr.Clerks. 70-5-95	Stenographers. 50-5-75	2nd gr.clerks. 50-1-70	3rd Gr.Clerks. 30-3-45	Remarks.
Chief Office	1	1	3	1	6	6	
Railways and C.I.D.	-	-	-	2	1	3	
Provincial Res.Force.	-	-	-	-	1	2	
Bangalore City	-	-	1	1	2	3	
Police Training School.	-	-	-	-	-	1	
Bangalore District.	-	-	1	-	2	3	
Channapatna	-	-	-	-	1	2	
Kolar District	-	-	1	-	2	3	
Kolar Gold Fields	-	--	1	-	1	3	
Mysore District.	-	-	1	-	2	4	
Mysore City.	-	-	-	-	1	4	
French Rocks.	-	-	1	-	1	3	
Tumkur District.	-	-	1	-	2	4	
Kadur District.	-	-	1	-	1	3	
Hassan District.	-	-	1	-	1	3	
Shimoga District.	-	-	1	-	2	4	
Chitaldrug District.	-	-	1	-	1	3	
Davangere.	-	-	-	-	1	2	
Total	1	1	14	4	28	56	

1 1 14 1 27 54

1 2

1 - -

1. G.O.No.P.101-10/Pol.89-28-6 dated 7-7-1930 sanctioning the re-organization of the Clerical Estd.
2. G.O.No.P.3917-18/Pol.85-30-4 dated 7-1-1931 sanctioning clerical staff to P.R.P.
3. Go.No.3090-1/Pol.151-29-2 D.22-1-30

Proposed

	Manager. 125-10-125	Storekeeper 100-5-125	1st.Gr.Clerks. 70-5-95	Stenographers. 50-5-75	2nd Gr-Clerks. 50-4-70	3rd Gr.Clerks. 30-3-45	Remarks.
	1	1	3	1	6	6	
	-	-	-	2	1	3	
	-	-	-	-	1	2	
	-	-	1	1	2	3	
	-	-	-	-	-	1	
	-	-	1	-	2	4	
	-	-	-	-	1	1	
	-	-	1	-	2	3	
	-	-	1	-	1	3	
	-	-	1	-	2	5	
	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	-	-	1	-	1	4	
	-	-	1	-	2	4	
	-	-	1	-	1	4	
	-	-	1	-	1	4	
	-	-	1	-	2	5	
	-	-	1	-	2	3	
	-	-	-	-	1	1	
	1	1	14	4 ~~20~~	28	56	

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE

22

Proceedings of the Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Pol cog. 31. 3.

Read:-

1. G.O. No. P.101-10/Pol. 89-28-6 dated 7th July 1930 reorganising the ministerial staff of the Police Department.

F

2. Letter No. C.C. 22/31 dated 13th November 1931 from the I.G of Police submitting proposals for the amalgamation of certain police officers and more precisely defining the powers of A.S.Ps.

PUD

3. Letter No. Books 1117 dated 19th December 1931 from the Comptroller forwarding duly verified the proposition statement in respect of the above proposals.

ay this be—

(i) printed ? yes

(ii) treated as a standing order ? yes

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ? yes

(iv) translated ? No

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ? yes

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ? No

Not necessary

Order No: P 416-20 (1)

Bangalore, dated 23/2/1932.

25

The I.G.P. has examined the possibilities of retrenchment in the Police Department by the abolition of certain police officers as separate units and combining them with ~~those~~ others and has made the following proposals in that behalf:-

1. The Office of the A.S.P. Mysore City as a separate establishment should be ~~retrenched~~ abolished and be amalgamated with the Office of the D.S.P Mysore, adding one of the junior clerks of the present A.S.P's office to the D.S.P's office.

2. The A.S.P will be the deputy of the D.S.P and will have direct charge of the Mysore City and taluk;

2. The establishments of the offices of the A.S.Ps at Channapatna and Davangere should be reduced to two clerks (one senior and one junior) for each by suitable reduction in their office work.

3. The result of these proposals is to release one senior and six junior clerks (five from the A.S.P's office, Mysore City and one each from the offices at Davangere and Channapatna). The I.G.P. proposes to utilise these clerks temporarily till the end of the current official year for completing the introduction of new methods of the maintenance of service registers of the constabulary in the offices of D.S.Ps. It is proposed to distribute them temporarily as shown in the annexure.

Government are pleased to approve of the proposals of the I.G.P and direct that these extra appointments be retrenched from the commencement of the next official year.

The I.G.P further states that at present the powers and duties of the A.S.Ps as defined in the Police Manual are to all intents and purposes identical with those of the D.S.Ps, that consequently the A.S.Ps are carrying on as practically independent officers, that some duplication of work is caused in their offices, that it is necessary to more precisely define the positions, powers and duties of the A.S.Ps. He therefore proposes that the A.S.Ps should approximate to the position of Assistants to D.S.Ps and be subordinate to and entirely under

under the charge of the D.S.Ps.

Government agree with the I.G.P and request that suitable amendments to the Police Manual may be proposed ~~to fully bring out~~ in accordance with the above recommendations.

Chief Secretary.

To

The ~~Comptroller~~ to Govt through Fin. Deptt.

The I.G.of Police.

The D. Cs of Bangalore, Mysore and Chitaldrug Districts.

Annexure A. 25

[App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 193 .

Office	Serial No.	Present scale	Proposed scale.
Supdt. of Police, Bangalore dt.	2	✓ 1 clerk on Rs 70-5-95	✓ 1 clerk on Rs 70-5-95
		✓ 2 clerks on 50-4-70	✓ 2 clerks on 50-4-10
		✓ 3 clerks on 30-3-45	✓ 4 clerks on 30-3-45
—do— Inspector.		✓ 1 clerk on Rs 70-5-95	✓ 1 clerk on Rs 70-5-95
		✓ 2 clerks on Rs 50-4-70	✓ 2 clerks on Rs 50-4-70
		✓ 4 clerks on 30-3-45	✓ 5 clerks on Rs 30-3-45
Kadur Sundikan dt.	3	1 clerk on Rs 70-5-95	1 clerk on Rs 70-5-95
		1 clerks on Rs 50-4-70	1 clerk Rs 50-4-70
		3 clerks on Rs 30-3-45	4 clerks on Rs 30-3-45
—do— Hassan dt.		✓ 1 clerk on Rs 70-5-95	✓ 1 clerk on Rs 70-5-95
		✓ 1 clerks on Rs 50-4-70	✓ 1 clerks on Rs 50-4-70
		✓ 3 clerks on Rs 30-3-45	✓ 4 clerks on Rs 30-3-45
—do— Shimoga dt.		1 clerk on Rs 70-5-95	✓ 1 clerk on Rs 70-5-95
		2 clerks on Rs 50-4-70	✓ 2 clerks on Rs 50-4-70
		4 clerks on Rs 30-3-45	✓ 5 clerks on Rs 30-3-45
—do— Chitaldrug dt.		✓ 1 clerk on Rs 70-5-95	✓ 1 clerk on Rs 70-5-95
		✓ 1 clerk on Rs 50-4-70	✓ 2 clerks on Rs 50-4-70
		✓ 3 clerks on Rs 30-3-45	✓ 3 clerks on Rs 30-3-45
—do— Kolar dt.		✓ 1 clerk on Rs 70-5-95	No change
		✓ 2 clerks on 50-4-70	
		✓ 3 clerks on Rs 30-3-45	
—do— Tumkur dt.		1 clerk on Rs 70-5-95	No change
		1 clerks on Rs 50-4-70	
		4 clerks on Rs 30-3-45	
—do— Bellary Rocks dt.		✓ 1 clerk on Rs 70-5-95	No change
		✓ 1 clerk on Rs 50-4-70	
		✓ 3 clerks on Rs 30-3-45	
D. I. G. P.'s office Bangalore		✓ 1 clerk on Rs 50-4-70	✓ 1 clerk on Rs 50-4-70
—do— Chennapatna		✓ 2 clerks on Rs 30-3-45	✓ 1 clerk on Rs 30-3-45
NOTE—Contd. Sheet.		✓ 1 clerk on Rs 50-4-70	—do—
		✓ 2 clerks on Rs 30-3-45	

POLICE DEPARTMENT

Pol 109-31-4

Tel. Address
"ALACRIS"

PHONE No. 298.

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE
IN MYSORE.

When replying please quote No. C.C. 22/31-32.

Dated Bangalore, 30th January 1932.

From

F. A. HAMILTON, ESQ., C.I.E.,
Inspector General of Police in Mysore,
BANGALORE.

To

N. Madhava Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

SIR,

I have the honor to request you to kindly obtain the sanction of Government fixing 15th February, 1932, as the date from which G.O. No. P. 4116-21(1) 109-31 -3 dated 23rd January 1932 should be given effect to, as arrangements have to be made for posting clerks from one place to the other in consultation with the District Superintendents of Police.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Inspector General of Police.

G.R.S. App. F VI—G 2]

2

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Section Pol

File No. 109-31

Serial No. 5

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

ate of issue ..... 16/2 ..... 193 2.

Hlay T

gned by ..... M^r C S B

Read—

1. G.O. No. P. 4116-20
Pol. 109-31-3
dated 23rd 25th Jany. 1932
~~sanctioning the abolition of the office establishment of the A.S.P., Bangalore city, and the reduction of the office establishment of the A.S.P., Davangere and Chennapatna and directing that A.S.P.'s should be treated as deputies of the D.S.P.~~
temporary utilisation of extra ministerial Staff of the Police Dept for completing the introduction of new method of maintaining the Surnames of the Constabulary in the Offices of the D.S.Ps

ay this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

P.V.D.

2. Letter No C.C. 22
31-32
dated 30th Jany. 1932 from

The I. G. of Police requesting that the G. O. read above may be given effect to from 15th Feby. 1932 for the reasons explained by him.

Order

No. 8 4611-12 dated 16-3-32

Sanctioned with the observation that the retrenchment in the ministerial staff ordered in the G.O. of 25-1-32 also be given effect to from 1-7-32.

Chief Secy.

29/2/32

To

The I. G. of Police.

" Comptroller.

List of Enclosure

Copied by 3/3/32

Examined by